

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

ELLIOT D. GOODIN,

Plaintiff.

V.

MOLLY EKE,

## Defendant

NO. 2:23-CV-0022-TOR

## ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

BEFORE THE COURT is Plaintiff's failure to file an Amended Complaint (or voluntarily dismiss this case) within 60-days of this Court's Order dated May 1, 2023. ECF No. 8. The Court has reviewed the record and files herein and is fully informed.

Plaintiff, a patient at Eastern State Hospital, is proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*. See ECF No. 4. Plaintiff filed his Complaint on January 27, 2023. ECF No. 1. On February 15, and May 1, 2023, the Court screened Plaintiff's Complaint and Amended Complaint for legal sufficiency pursuant to 28

1 U.S.C. § 1915(e). ECF Nos. 5 and 8. Because Plaintiff did not allege sufficient  
2 facts to state a claim for relief, this Court dismissed Plaintiff's Complaints without  
3 prejudice and with leave to amend. *Id.* The Court instructed Plaintiff that he may  
4 file an Amended Complaint within sixty (60) days of May 1, 2023 or file the  
5 attached Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss within sixty (60 days). ECF No. 8. The  
6 Court cautioned Plaintiff that if he failed to file within sixty days, the Court would  
7 dismiss the entire case for failure to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). *Id.*  
8 Plaintiff failed to timely respond in any manner.

9 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), “[a]n appeal may not be taken *in forma*  
10 *pauperis* if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith.” The  
11 good faith standard is an objective one, and good faith is demonstrated when an  
12 individual “seeks appellate review of any issue not frivolous.” *See Coppededge v.*  
13 *United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962). For purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915, an  
14 appeal is frivolous if it lacks any arguable basis in law or fact. *Neitzke v. Williams*,  
15 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989).

16 The Court finds that any appeal of this Order would not be taken in good  
17 faith and would lack any arguable basis in law or fact. Accordingly, the Court  
18 hereby revokes Plaintiff's *in forma pauperis* status.

19 //  
20 //

**ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

1. Plaintiff's Complaints are **DISMISSED without prejudice** for failure to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).
2. Plaintiff's *in forma pauperis* status is **REVOKED**.

The District Court Executive is directed to enter this Order, enter judgment of dismissal without prejudice, forward a copy to Plaintiff, and **CLOSE** the file.

DATED July 6, 2023.



THOMAS O. RICE  
United States District Judge